1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
10			
11	Jean-Luc Grand-Clement,	NO. C 04-04956 JW	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR TEMPORARY PROTECTIVE ORDER	
14	Lafayette Software, Inc.,	AND WRIT OR ATTACHMENT; ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE	
15	Defendant(s).	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE	
16			
17	The Court having considered the motion of Plaintiff, Jean-Luc Grand-Clement for Temporary		
18	Protective Order and Writ of Attachment, there being no opposition to the granting of said motion, and		
19	the Court finding good cause therefor,		
20	The Court issues the following FINDINGS:		
21	1. The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332;		
22	2. The claim is one upon which an attachment may be issued;		
23	3. Plaintiff has established the probable validity of the claim;		
24	4. The attachment is not sought for a purpose other than the recovery on the claim;		
25	5. The amount to be secured by the attachment is greater than zero;		
26	6. The property sought to be attached is not exempt from attachment.		
27	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, that the Motion of Plaintiff, Jean-Luc Grand-Clement, for a		
28	Temporary Protective Order and Writ of Attachment is GRANTED. Defendant, Lafayette Software,		

## Case 5:04-cv-04956-JW Document 40 Filed 10/17/05 Page 2 of 3

Inc. and Olivier Bedouelle, Official Receiver in charge of the Court-Ordered Liquidation of Lafayette		
Software, Inc., now pending in the Tribunal de Commerce de Versailles, their agents, attorneys, and		
representatives are hereby ordered not to distribute the proceeds from the sale of the assets of		
Lafayette Software, Inc. and to deliver said assets to the US Marshals or the Court, pending final		
disposition of this claim.		

The Court hereby orders the parties to appear in Courtroom No. 8, 4th Floor, United States District Court, 280 South First Street, San Jose, Ca. on November 7, 2005 at 9:00 a.m. to show cause, if any, why the temporary protective order should not be extended to a preliminary injunction for the duration of the present litigation.

Dated: October 17, 2005 /s/ James Ware JAMES WARE 04cv4956attach

United States District Judge

## For the Northern District of California

1	THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT COPIES OF THI	S ORDER HAVE BEEN DELIVERED TO
2	C. Laine Lucas <u>lainelucas@bindermalter.com</u> Gaylo Ziekgraff Green gaylo@bindermalter.com	
3	C. Laine Lucas <u>lainelucas@bindermalter.com</u> Gayle Zickgraff Green <u>gayle@bindermalter.com</u> James L. Day <u>jim.day@lw.com</u> Risha Nickelle Jamison <u>risha.jamison@lw.com</u>	<u>:0111</u>
4	Risha Prekene Jamison <u>Hisha. Jamison et w.com</u>	
5	Dated: October 7, 2005	Richard W. Wieking, Clerk
6	Dutcut Getober 1, 2000	Menara VII VII Ching, Clerk
7 8		By:/s/ JW Chambers Ronald L. Davis Courtroom Deputy
9		Court com Bepary
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		